CDM Policy Dialogue

Bill Farmer
Uganda Carbon Bureau
Carbon Foundation of East Africa

Great that it's happening

- Enough lessons have been learned it's time for a tune up
- It builds on the new commitment to openness
- Africa has a chance to make CDM 'fitter for purpose'
- If we don't make our input we can't be heard
- We've shown with PoAs that appropriate tools get results

Advice to the Policy Dialogue Panel

- Don't scrap CDM fix it
- Listen to the African users' suggestions—they are practical, and are aimed at:
 - fairness, (Impact)
 - ease of use, (Governance)
 - improving quality, setting standards of excellence,
 (Context)
 - expanding usage, (Impact)
 - maintaining credibility (Impact & Context)

- Get the balance right
- Simplify the CER work Beef up the development content
- Add a user 'helpline'
- Why do we need to add other co-standards e.g.
 Gold Standard, CCBA CDM should be enough
- Add a fair trade dimension
- The brand is solid, reputable, permanent, trusted, credible, marketable – a powerful base

What's wrong with being user-friendly?

CDM Report card

- English could do better
- Governance simplify, streamline, speed up
- Clarity even more revision is needed
- **Promotion** hit or miss

- Speed legendary...
- Efficiency good in parts, *!*! In others
- Riskiness packed with surprises
- Cost a real barrier; thank goodness for PoAs

A Cleaner Development Mechanism

- Scales up emission reductions and supports good development in 'equal' measure
- Puts carbon finance to work in Africa avoids windfall profits/unfair capture
- Goes beyond 'donor' funding and government funding horizons
- Creates funding certainty, and operates as an efficient and timely partnership

It can be achieved....





www.ugandacarbon.org